

No. ID/FD/272/82/51459.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the workman Shri Raj Kumar and the management of M/s. Joy B. Industries, Plot No. 162, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Raj Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/256/82/51466.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rama Shankar and the management of M/s. Joy B. Industries Plot No. 162, Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now therefore, in exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matters specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rama Shankar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/FD/257/82/51473.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Radha Krishan and the management of M/s Joy B. Industries, Plot No. 162 Sector 24, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Faridabad, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below, being either in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Radha Krishan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

V. S. CHAUDHRI, Dy. Secy.

FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, HARYANA

CORRIGENDUM

The 17th November, 1982

No. 7055-E-3-82/40280.—In Haryana Government, Revenue Department Notification No. 8100-E(III)-80/46326, dated the 26th December, 1980, published in the *Haryana Government Gazette*, dated the 13th January, 1981, against serial No. 7 column No. 5 and 6 should be read as 'Pass' and '—' instead of '—' and 'Pass' respectively.

L. C. GUPTA,

Financial Commissioner Revenue
and Secretary to Government, Haryana
Revenue Department.